

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)
as being anticipated by Kanou et al. (USPN 6,407,784 B1).

5 Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being
unpatentable over Kanou et al. (USPN 6,407,784 B1) in view
of Tsuda (USPN 6,373,539 B1).

1. Response to the rejection of claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13 under
10 **35 U.S.C. 102(e):**

Claims 1, 7 and 13 are amended to distinctly distinguish
the characteristics of this application. No new matter has
been introduced, and the amended claims are fully supported
15 by the specification and figures as filed.

In this application, **each layer** of the multi-layered
structure **has different widths** and does **not fully overlapped**
each other, and the different widths induce the multi-slants
20 reflectors after manufacture. In Kanou et al., each layer of
the multi-layer structure all has same widths and is all
overlapped in alignment, and the idea of **different widths in**
each layer has never been disclosed. Kanou et al. only disclose
different widths in each multi-layer structure.

25

Features in the amended independent claims 1, 7, and 13 are novel and never disclosed, so consideration of the claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13 is therefore politely requested.

5 **2. Response to the rejection of claims 6 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a):**

As mentioned above, this application and Kanou et al. are different in the design of widths of each layer. The rejection
10 over Kanou et al. in view of Tsuda is not suitable for this application. So consideration of claims 6 and 12 is therefore politely requested.

15

Sincerely yours,

20


Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No.41,526

P.O. BOX 506

Merrifield, VA 22116

25

U.S.A.

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com.tw

Date: 9/3/2003